03.06.2018



Study Report:

In 2012 Estonian Road Administration initiated a study to find out the cost effective dust control and stabilizatrion method for Estonian gravel roads. Ramboll Estonia AS carried out the first phase of this study. Based on this study the stabilization methods, which will be tested in the second phase of this study in 2013-2014, were proposed. Additionally the soil stabilisation materials and methods were proposed to test for construction of dust-free on low-and high-volume roads.

The goal was to make the gravel road dust-free, increase its carrying capacity and compression strength. It was also a goal to make stabilized soil water and frost resistant.

The effectivness of various soil stabilisation materials and methods was evaluated and compared based on the results and data of visual monitoring, laboratory tests, measurements and cost benefit analysis carried out.

Two different soil stabilisation materials and methods were tested (third selection was dropped on early phase) on two 5000 m long and 6 m wide test sections on gravel road. Soil stabilisation with StabilRoad[®] material system and cement was performed on one test section and solution mixed with M10+50[™] and LBS polymers was used with cement on second section. A half of the stabilised test section was covered with surface dressing and other part has left unsealed to better evaluate the changes of condition of stabilised gravel course.

The evenness of road surface was better on the test sections with surface dressings

As foreseen in the terms of reference of this study the test sections should be monitored how they withstand under the winter conditions

Thickness of the stabilizing layer: 35 cm Mix ratio: 182 kg/m3 Cement: 63,7 kg/m2,

StabilRoad: 1,65 kg/m2

1.5:12	Material	IRI mm/m					
LOIK	Material	Direction 1	Direction 2	Average			
1	Surface dressing with non-graded crushed stone aggregate 0/16	3,14	2,82	2,98			
2	Soil stabilisation with StabilRoad [®] material system and cement	2,58	2,81	2,70			
3	Soil stabilisation with polymers M10+50 TM , LBS and cement	3,66	3,23	3,45			

Table 8. The evenness of the stabilized and coated gravel sections

Table 9. Load bearing capacity of stabilized and coated gravel sections

		E _{mod} Mpa								
Test section	Material		Directio	on 1	Direction 2			Centing		
		Min	Max	Ave- rage	Min	Мах	Ave- rage	average		
1	Surface dressing with non- graded crushed stone aggregate 0/16	83	134	114	99	155	133	124		
2	Soil stabilisation with StabilRoad® material system and cement	459	704	586	456	623	551	569		
3	Soil stabilisation with polymers M10+50 [™] , LBS and cement	274	361	311	309	399	333	322		

Table 2. Results of the measurements of soil stabilisation effectivenness characteristics

Test section	Material	Compressive strength	Bearing capacity E _{mod} avg	Evenness IRI avg	
		Мра	Мра	mm/m	
1	Surface dressing with non-graded crushed stone aggregate 0/16	-	124	2,98	
2	Soil stabilisation with StabilRoad® material system and cement	13,4	569	2,70	
3	Soil stabilisation with polymers M10+50 [™] , LBS and cement	4,5	322	3,45	



Picture 20. Soil stabilization with StabilRoad[®] and cement

Picture 21. Surface after stabilization with StabilRoad[®] and cement



Picture 28. Gravel road stabilized with StabilRoad[®] and cement



Picture 29. Gravel road stabilized with StabilRoad[®] and cement and coated after

5 YEARS AFTER THE USE OF STABILIZED ROAD (temperature ranges between max. +30°C and min.-25°C) THE LABORATORY RESULTS SHOWS TO THE FOLLOWING:

- The technical comparison showed that the bearing capacity (FWD) of road with stabilized layer of StabilRoad[®] has increased from 569 MPa to 599 MPa, while the load capacity of the M10 + 50[™] has dropped from 322 MPa to 269 MPa
- The Laboratory comparison showed that the compressive strength of stabilized layer with StabilRoad[®] has increased from 13,4 MPa to 15,1 MPa, while the compressive strength of the M10 + 50TM has dropped from 4,5 MPa to 0 Mpa (the soil was the same as before the stabilization)

Conclusions from the test:

1) M10+50[™] test failed, the material is initially designed to be used for fine grained sands (used during Kuwait war to prepare military airfields). Possible reason of failure – grain curve contained too little fine particles.

2) StabilRoad[®] test was technically successful and the end result is great. Obviously the Binder concentration requires optimization, to meet the required bearing capacity without overdimensioning. For gravel roads (daily traffic below 1000 vehicles/day), bearing capacity of about 300 MPa is sufficient (compressive strength not exceeding 10 MPa). In particular test, Binder concentration was not even also for a reason, that standard spreaders were used for StabilRoad[®]. (Stehr SBS 3000 or similar is required)

(Another example, particularly, negative, concerns the shale ash stabilization. Ash reduces initial strength, but the increase within time is much longer and final strength higher. Experience is negative because the stronger the material is, larger monolites form with hydraulic Binder, and temperature changes are causing cracks in material. It is extremely important to optimize the Binder content so, that the cracks which appear, will be small, monolite not forming too large, but on the same, necessary compressive strength is achieved.)

Long term performance:

All layers with hydraulic Binder (cement) are improving in time – afterhardening process. The process develops in logarithmic scale – yearly increase continuously reducing. And StabilRoad[®] gives the basic benefits.

Estonian and Finnish experience in concrete roads is one good example – from initial 60 MPa compressive strength on road concrete, within 10 years the strength increased to over 100 MPa level. (Very different temperature and climate conditions)

In Conclusion:

- Soil that was stabilized with StabilRoad[®] technology 5 years ago is still extremely durable today.
- Specially over the different seasons of the year, with very different temperatures and rainfalls.
- The road still does not require any maintenance.
- And it can be firmly asserted that maintenance will not be needed for many more years.





TEST REPORT Nº 8744/13

24.08.2013 № 3-2.5/3445 Page **1/1**

Client:	Ramboll EestI AS	
Description of job:	Testing of stabilized soils	
Samples:		
Object	Põltsamaa	
Place of Sampling	Põltsamaa	
Date of taking s 20.07.2013 ,	amples and sampler	Date of delivering samples and deliverer 20.07.2013 ,
AS Teede Tehnok	teskus	AS Teede Tehnokeskus
Clients marking Section stabilized	of samples with StabilRoad	Reg. Number in laboratory 2786
Testing and results	The surfaces of cores were smo The specimens were held in a humidity 65±5 %. The density of to EVS-EN 12697-6 (method D) *EVS-EN 13286-41.	othened by sawing. a climate room in temperature 20±2 °C, relative of samples (without drying) was determined according b. Compressive strength was determined according to

* – not accredited by Estonian Accreditation Centre.

Laboratory	Specimen measurements, Mass of mm specimen,		Date of testing	Age of the	Density,	Crushing force, kN	Compressive strength,	Average compressive strength N/mm ²	Breaking	
designation	d	Н	g		specimens	Kg/III		N/mm ²	sciengci, N/ illin	picture
4	141,7	118,0	4019			2160	210,0	13,3		R
5	141,9	132,6	4510	26.07	-	2151	223,5	14,1	13,4	R
6	142,1	142,1	4878			2165	200,9	12,7		R

*T-81 ja T-74188 intersection

The test results apply only to the tested samples **Position Deputy head of Laboratory**

Name Mark Meikas / digitally signed/

Incomplete multiplication of the report without written permission of the testing laboratory is prohibited. Test report may not include all the background data.

TEEDE TEHNOKESKUS AS Väike-Männiku 26 11216 Tallinn, Eesti Reg nr 10701123

Telefon: +372 677 1500 Faks: +372 677 1523 info@teed.ee www.teed.ee

IBAN: EE962200221015207729 Swedbank, kood 767 SWIT/ BIC: HABAEE2X KMKR: EE100793262





TEST REPORT № 2060/18

20.06.2018 № 7-6.4/2285 Page **1/1**

REDITEERIM

EN ISO/IEC 17025 L036

Client:	Megatrade OÜ – Kaido Randa	ilu
Description of job:	Testing of stabilized soils	
Samples:		
Object	Põltsamaa	
Place of Sampling	Põltsamaa	
Date of taking 18.06.2018 ,	samples and sampler	Date of delivering samples and deliverer 18.06.2018 ,
Raino Piirsalu, A	AS Teede Tehnokeskus	Raino Piirsalu, AS Teede Tehnokeskus
Clients markir	ng of samples	Reg. Number in laboratory 2786
Testing and results	The surfaces of cores were sr The specimens were held in humidity 65±5 %. The densit to EVS-EN 12697-6 (method *EVS-EN 13286-41.	noothened by sawing. n a climate room in temperature 20±2 °C, relative y of samples (without drying) was determined according D). Compressive strength was determined according to

* - not accredited by Estonian Accreditation Centre.

Laboratory	Place of	Spec measur m	ecimen surements, Mass of mm specimen,		Date of testing	Age of the	Density,	Crushing force, kN	Compressive strength,	Average compressive	Breaking		
designation	Samping	d	Н	g		specificity	kg/m		N/mm ²	N/mm ²	picture		
2	~500 meters from intersection*	143,1	118,5	4397	20.06		2307	278,6	17,3		R		
3	~900	143,0	118,2	4346		20.06	20.06	-	2293	231,4	15,7	15,1	R
4	meters from intersection*	143,2	118,7	4320							2260	201,1	14,5
6.1		143,2	118,6	4202			2200	191,6	12,9		R		

*T-81 ja T-74188 intersection

The test results apply only to the tested samples **Position Deputy head of Laboratory**

Name Mark Meikas / digitally signed /

Incomplete multiplication of the report without written permission of the testing laboratory is prohibited. Test report may not include all the background data.

TEEDE TEHNOKESKUS AS Väike-Männiku 26
11216 Tallinn, Eesti
Reg nr 10701123

Telefon: +372 677 1500 Faks: +372 677 1523 info@teed.ee www.teed.ee IBAN: EE962200221015207729 Swedbank, kood 767 SWIT/ BIC: HABAEE2X KMKR: EE100793262



Ain Kendra

General Director T-Konsult Ltd

Tallinn University of Technology Lecturer (road technics)

Chartered roas engineers (level 8) Professional Certificate 088125

Report completed in collaboration:

South Region Road Administration Technical Center of Estonian Roads Ltd. T-Consult Megatrade OÜ University of Technology